

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/047,552	01/14/2002	Daniel Charles Coy	N0030.44	4972
26689	7590 11/19/2003		EXAMINER	
	I, HARROLD, ALLEN	MILLER, JONATHAN R		
225 WEST WACKER DRIVE CHICAGO, IL 60606			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
<i></i>			3653	

DATE MAILED: 11/19/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				$\Delta I$			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
į		10/047,552	COY ET AL.				
c	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	<del></del>			
		Jonathan R. Miller	3653				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication a r Reply	ppears on the cover sh	neet with the correspondence	address			
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION isions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR (SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication, period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state ply received by the Office later than three months after the main dipatent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however eply within the statutory minimu od will apply and will expire SIX tute, cause the application to be	may a reply be timely filed  m of thirty (30) days will be considered to (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of the come ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	is communication.			
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 0	<u>5 September 2003</u> .					
2a)⊠	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)	This action is non-fina	l.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims	•					
4) 🖂	Claim(s) 22-67 is/are pending in the applica	ation.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd	rawn from consideration	on.				
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)🖂	Claim(s) 22-31,33-38,40-55,57-67 is/are rejo	ected.					
7)⊠	Claim(s) 32,39 and 56 is/are objected to.						
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and on Papers	d/or election requireme	ent.				
	The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.					
•	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)  ac		to by the Examiner.				
,	Applicant may not request that any objection to		-	(a).			
11)[ ]	11)⊠ The proposed drawing correction filed on <u>05 September 2003</u> is: a)⊠ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner						
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in	reply to this Office action	1.				
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner.					
Priority (	ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	ign priority under 35 U	J.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:						
,	1. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been receive	ed.				
	2. Certified copies of the priority docume						
· * 5	3. Copies of the certified copies of the p application from the International See the attached detailed Office action for a l	riority documents have Bureau (PCT Rule 17.	e been received in this Natio 2(a)).				
	acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome	•		onal application).			
а	) ☐ The translation of the foreign language ∣	provisional application	has been received.				
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome	sono priority under 35 (	0.0.0. 33 120 and/or 121.				
2) 🔲 Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s	5) 🔲 N	terview Summary (PTO-413) Pape otice of Informal Patent Application ther:				
J.S. Patent and T PTOL-326 (R		Action Summary	Р	art of Paper No. 8			

Art Unit: 3653

**DETAILED ACTION** 

Page 2

Claim Objections

1. Claims 38 – 54 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent

form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim. Applicant is required to

cancel the claim(s), or amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent form, or

rewrite the claim(s) in independent form. The limitations relating to the use of the settling

chamber fail to further limit the apparatus structure. It is not appropriate to have a method of use

dependent upon a method claim.

2. Claims 51 - 54 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent

form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim. Applicant is required to

cancel the claim(s), or amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent form, or

rewrite the claim(s) in independent form. The limitations of these claims fail to futher limit a

method of use. A method of use cannot include building the apparatus (i.e. a method of making

limitation).

3. The amendment filed 9/5/03 is objected to under 35 U.S.C. 132 because it introduces new

matter into the disclosure. 35 U.S.C. 132 states that no amendment shall introduce new matter

into the disclosure of the invention. The added material which is not supported by the original

disclosure is as follows: Examiner cannot find any disclosure of the "radial introduction of the

gas" as claimed in claims 43 and 60.

Applicant is required to cancel the new matter in the reply to this Office Action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

Art Unit: 3653

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

5. Claims 42 and 59 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. It is not clear to the Examiner how the axes of rotation of the flow patterns are primarily horizontal. If the streams are perpendicular to the inlet stream, then it would seem to dictate that the axes of rotation of the flow patterns are primarily vertical.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 22-31, 33 - 38, 40, 41, 46 - 50, 55, 57, and 63-67 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as



being anticipated by Zelazny et al. The reference discloses a settling chamber having a top section and bottom section; an outlet port positioned on the top section; and an inlet port positioned on the bottom section; wherein a ratio of height to width of the settling chamber is greater than 0.7 (Fig. 2). This figure clearly shows a structure with a ratio of height to width of the settling chamber greater than 0.7.

7. With regards to claim 23, the reference further discloses the ratio of height to width of the settling chamber is greater than 1.2 (Fig. 2). This figure clearly shows a structure with a ratio of height to width of the settling chamber greater than 1.2.

Art Unit: 3653

8. With regards to claim 24, the reference further discloses the bottom section comprises: a base; and an inlet port connected to the sidewall; and the ratio of the size of the base to the size of the inlet port is approximately 4 to 1 (col. 2, lines 67+).

- 9. With regards to claim 25, the reference further discloses the inlet port is located approximately one half the inlet port size (diameter) higher than the base (Fig. 2).
- 10. With regards to claim 26, the reference further discloses the base is circular (col. 2, lines 67+). (diameter inherently implies circular base).
- With regards to claim 27, the reference further discloses the sidewall is cylindrical (Fig. 2).
- With regards to claim 28, the reference further discloses the inlet port is generally circular and a central axis of the inlet port is perpendicular to a central axis of the sidewall (Fig. 2).
- 13. With regards to claim 29, the reference further discloses the inlet port is generally circular and a ratio of a diameter of the sidewall to a diameter of the inlet port is 4 to 1 (col. 2, lines 67+).
- 14. With regards to claim 30, the reference further discloses the inlet port is generally circular and a ratio of the height of the settling chamber to a diameter of the inlet port is greater than 2.8 (col. 2, lines 67+ and Fig. 2). Again, ratios can be determined from the figure.
- With regards to claim 31, the reference further discloses the ratio of the height of the settling chamber to the diameter of the inlet port is greater than 4.8 (col. 2, lines 67+ and Fig. 2). Again, ratios can be determined from the figure.

Art Unit: 3653

- 16. With regards to claim 33, the reference further discloses the top section has a frustoconical shape (Fig. 2).
- 17. With regards to claim 34, the reference further discloses the top section has a cone angle of 90 degrees (Fig. 2).
- 18. With regards to claim 35, the reference further discloses the outlet port is located at a top portion of the frustoconical shape (Fig. 2).
- 19. With regards to claim 36, the reference further discloses the apparatus is constructed of stainless steel (col. 3. lines 2+)
- 20. With regards to claim 37, the reference further inherently discloses the inlet port is welded to the settling chamber.
- 21. With regards to claim 38, the reference further discloses introducing a gas fluidized particle stream through the inlet port at a given volume flow rate; establishing a gas stream flow pattern within the settling chamber that retards transportation of one group of particles to the outlet port and facilitates transportation of another group of particles to the outlet port; and collecting the other size of particles at the outlet port (col. 2, lines 64+).
- With regards to claim 40, the reference further discloses establishing a main recirculating flow pattern in the bottom section; and establishing a secondary recirculating flow pattern in the top section (col. 2, lines 40+). These are inherent based upon the shape of the vessel and the particles separated therein.
- With regards to claim 41, the reference further inherently discloses creating an interface between the main recirculating flow pattern and the secondary recirculating flow pattern. The interface must exist between the two adjacent flow patterns.

Page 6

Art Unit: 3653

24. With regards to claim 46, the reference further discloses introducing a gas fluidized particle stream comprising one of metal oxide nanoparticles, metal nanopowders, metal nitride, mixed metal oxides, metal carbides and metal sulfide nanoparticles. The reference discloses separation of toner particles. Theses are a metal nanopowder.

- 25. With regards to claim 47, the reference further discloses introducing a gas fluidized particle stream comprising particles having a minimum particle size of approximately .001 micron. Toner particle size varies on the order of microns and 10s of microns. Examiner contends that the reference thus inherently discloses a minimum particle size of approximately .001 micron.
- With regards to claim 48, the reference further inherently discloses introducing a gas fluidized particle stream comprising free particles. Toner particles are free particles.
- With regards to claim 49, the reference further inherently discloses introducing a gas fluidized particle stream comprising particle clusters. Toner particles are free particles that tend to cluster.
- 28. With regards to claim 50, the reference further inherently discloses introducing a gas fluidized particle stream comprising free particles and particle clusters. Toner particles are free particles that tend to cluster.
- 29. With regards to claim 55, the reference further discloses means for introducing a gas fluidized particle stream into a settling chamber, means for establishing a gas stream flow pattern within the settling chamber that retards transportation of one group of particles to an outlet port and facilitates transportation of another group of particles to the outlet port (col. 2, lines 64+).

Application/Control Number: 10/047,552 Page 7

Art Unit: 3653

therein.

30. With regards to claim 57, the reference further discloses means for establishing a main recirculating flow pattern; and means for establishing a secondary recirculating flow pattern (col. 2, lines 40+). These are inherent based upon the shape of the vessel and the particles separated

- 31. With regards to claim 63, the reference further discloses means for introducing a gas fluidized particle stream comprising one of metal oxide nanoparticles, metal nanopowders, metal nitride, mixed metal oxides, metal carbides and metal sulfide nanoparticles. The reference discloses separation of toner particles. Theses are a metal nanopowder.
- 32. With regards to claim 64, the reference further discloses means for introducing a gas fluidized particle stream comprising particles having a minimum particle size of approximately .001 micron. Toner particle size varies on the order of microns and 10s of microns. Examiner contends that the reference thus inherently discloses a minimum particle size of approximately .001 micron.
- With regards to claim 65, the reference further inherently discloses the means for introducing comprises: means for introducing a gas fluidized particle stream comprising free particles. Toner particles are free particles that tend to cluster.
- With regards to claim 66, the reference further inherently discloses the means for introducing comprises: means for introducing a gas fluidized particle stream comprising particle clusters. Toner particles are free particles that tend to cluster.
- With regards to claim 67, the reference further inherently discloses the means for introducing comprises introducing a gas fluidized particle stream comprising free particles and particle clusters. Toner particles are free particles that tend to cluster.

Art Unit: 3653

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 36. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 22. Claims 44, 45, 61 and 62 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Zelazny et al. While the reference fails to explicitly disclose introducing the gas fluidized particle stream at a given volume flow rate of 10-1000 scfm, and more particularly introducing the gas fluidized particle stream at a given volume flow rate of 100-200 scfm, the reference does disclose the importance of flow rates to create the critical suspension velocity. This is based on the particle density, tank size and inlet pressure (col. 4, lines 14+). This illustrates that at the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to optimize the flow rates based on the variables as set forth in the reference. It has been held that discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art. It has also been held that discovering an optimum value of a result effective variable involves only routine skill in the art.

## Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Page 8

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jonathan R. Miller whose telephone number is (703) 305-5778. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F: 8:30AM-5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Donald P. Walsh can be reached on (703) 306-4173. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1113.

jrm

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600